

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Cooke
<b>Given Name</b>	Patricia
<b>Person ID</b>	1286100
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Cooke
<b>Given Name</b>	Patricia
<b>Person ID</b>	1286100
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Because the Statement of Community Involvement has not been complied with. Ref: Statement of Community Involvement (bury.gov.uk)
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Consultation must be undertaken in an open and honest manner, prior to the plans being drawn up. All consultation meetings must be duly minuted and published.
<b>Family Name</b>	Cooke
<b>Given Name</b>	Patricia
<b>Person ID</b>	1286100
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<p><b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Meet our housing need</li> <li>2. Create neighbourhoods of choice</li> <li>3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved</li> <li>4. Maximise the potential arising from our national and international assets</li> <li>5. Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity</li> <li>6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information</li> <li>7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral</li> <li>8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces</li> <li>9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure</li> <li>10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities</li> </ol>
<p><b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Justified?</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Effective?</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b></p>	<p>No</p>
<p><b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>The sites selection has been especially opaque in that there are no minutes of any meeting.</p> <p>This sites choice cannot be justified as the most appropriate when no reasonable alternatives have been examined.</p> <p>The Elton Reservoir site does not meet the selection criteria laid down in the NPPF or the GMCA guidelines.</p> <p>Ref: <a href="http://bury.gov.uk/index.aspx?articleid=16330">bury.gov.uk/index.aspx?articleid=16330</a></p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>There need to be minuted meetings laying out sites selections criteria and reasons therefore, looking at all previously identified sites, including brownfield sites.</p>
<p><b>Family Name</b></p>	<p>Cooke</p>
<p><b>Given Name</b></p>	<p>Patricia</p>
<p><b>Person ID</b></p>	<p>1286100</p>
<p><b>Title</b></p>	<p>Our Spatial Strategy</p>
<p><b>Type</b></p>	<p>Web</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Justified?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>

## Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The allocation of the Elton Reservoir site and the surrounding area will directly contribute to the creation of urban sprawl, contrary to national policy NPPF para 134 parts a,c and e.</p> <p>Bury have gone against national policy by modifying green belt boundaries using inappropriate land areas, to make it appear that less green belt is being sacrificed.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>The modification needed is a plan to use no Green Belt land and for the meetings surrounding this plan to be properly minuted.</p> <p>Green Belt should only be used as an absolute last resort, after all other avenues have been explored and exhausted.</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Cooke
<b>Given Name</b>	Patricia
<b>Person ID</b>	1286100
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 1 Core Growth Area
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to</b>	<p>The PfE puts most housing in the west of Bury whilst putting most jobs on the M66 Northern Gateway Corridor. The other side of an already congested area.</p> <p>The proposed link road will not help this problem.</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Cooke
<b>Given Name</b>	Patricia
<b>Person ID</b>	1286100
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 6 Northern Areas
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The policy is directly opposed to Government policy in relation to pollution in that it seeks to release land from Green Belt when there are no justifiable exceptional circumstances for this.</p> <p>PfE puts the majority of housing in the west of Bury whilst location the industrial areas in the east of Bury M66 northern gateway corridor. This would entail more traffic travelling on already highly congested and polluted roads.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	To make this section legally compliant and sound would entail using national policy guidance in regard to not using Green Belt land except where there are exceptional circumstances, not adding to urban sprawl, pollution etc.
<b>Family Name</b>	Cooke
<b>Given Name</b>	Patricia
<b>Person ID</b>	1286100
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 6 Urban Green Space
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The policy is in direct conflict with Policy JP-G 6 in that it devastates huge areas of urban green spaces which are essential to protect the health and well-being of thousands of residents of Greater Manchester.</p> <p>These area provide informal green spaces for residents and nature.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>There must be a strict policy of brownfield first, before any Green Belt is released for development.</p> <p>There must be independent surveys of flora, fauna and all wildlife, not developer-led surveys which appear to be unfairly biased.</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Cooke
<b>Given Name</b>	Patricia
<b>Person ID</b>	1286100
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 7 Trees and Woodland
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Government guidance and policy dictates that trees and green areas are especially important to maintain air quality and manage water levels.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the</b>	Use moorland management strategies to control water flows into urban areas.

<b>modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Cooke
<b>Given Name</b>	Patricia
<b>Person ID</b>	1286100
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 10 Green Belt
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The policy is directly opposed to JP-G 10 in that it causes urban sprawl in joining together the townships of Bury, Radcliffe, Walshaw and Tottington. As the mayor of Greater Manchester is quoted as saying, "This plan is not right for Bury".
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Use brownfield sites, of which there are plenty, already with the town areas and negate the need to release Green Belt for development.
<b>Family Name</b>	Cooke
<b>Given Name</b>	Patricia
<b>Person ID</b>	1286100
<b>Title</b>	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Family Name</b>	Cooke
<b>Given Name</b>	Patricia
<b>Person ID</b>	1286100
<b>Title</b>	JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	No mention is made, anywhere, as to who will pay for this infrastructure. Meetings that decided the strategy were informal and not minuted in a proper manner.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	It must be clear, before any release of Green Belt, who will pay for the infrastructure with particular regards to GP and health services, schools and roads and transport.
<b>Family Name</b>	Cooke
<b>Given Name</b>	Patricia
<b>Person ID</b>	1286100

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Title</b>	Bury - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>GBA Bury - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	Bury GBA16 Lower Hinds
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The areas of "new" Green Belt are unsound in that they are totally unsuitable for purpose and are not accessible.</p> <p>Additional Green Belt in other areas are totally unsuitable for local residents of Radcliffe as they are not accessible to them, except by using additional transport.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Leave it as it is.
<b>Family Name</b>	Cooke
<b>Given Name</b>	Patricia
<b>Person ID</b>	1286100
<b>Title</b>	JPA 9: Walshaw
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA



Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Family Name</b>	Cooke
<b>Given Name</b>	Patricia
<b>Person ID</b>	1286100
<b>Title</b>	JPA 8: Seedfield
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Family Name</b>	Cooke
<b>Given Name</b>	Patricia
<b>Person ID</b>	1286100
<b>Title</b>	JPA 1.1 Heywood / Pilsworth (Northern Gateway)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA